Spatial Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interference in spinor Bose-Einstein condensates
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We investigate the Stückelberg oscillations of a spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate subject to a spatially inhomogeneous transverse magnetic field and a periodic longitudinal field. We show that the time-domain Stückelberg oscillations result in modulations in the density profiles of all spin components due to the spatial inhomogeneity of the transverse field. This phenomenon represents the Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interference in the space domain. Since the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between spin-1 atoms induces an inhomogeneous effective magnetic field, interference fringes also appear if a dipolar spinor condensate is driven periodically. We also point out some potential applications of this spatial Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interference.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum two-level systems often exhibit an avoided energy-level crossing which can be traversed using an external control parameter. If one sweeps the external parameter through the avoided crossing, a coherent Landau-Zener transition occurs [1,2]. When traversing the avoided crossing twice by sweeping the external parameter back, the dynamical phase accumulated between transitions may give rise to constructive or destructive interference in the time domain, known as Stückelberg oscillations [3,4]. When such a two-level system is subjected to a periodic driving in time, the physical observables of the system exhibit a periodic dependence on some external parameters, which is referred to as Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interferometry [5]. Recently, it was shown that Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interferometry is of particular importance to superconducting qubits [6–10], nitrogen-vacancy centers [11], and quantum dots [12,13], as it provides an alternative means to manipulate and characterize the structure of two-level systems.

In atomic physics, Stückelberg oscillations were observed in the dipole-dipole interaction between Rydberg atoms with an externally applied radio-frequency field [14]. In particular, for ultracold atomic gases, Stückelberg oscillations were demonstrated using the internal-state structure of Feshbach molecules [15,16] and using Bose-Einstein condensates in accelerated optical lattices [17]. There are numerous theoretical works studying Landau-Zener tunneling subject to a temporal periodic driving for condensates trapped in double-well potentials [18–20]. Vasile et al. [21] also proposed an interferometer of spinor condensates using Stückelberg oscillations.

In this work, we study the dynamics of a spin-1 condensate subject to a spatially inhomogeneous transverse magnetic field. When the condensate is driven by a temporally periodic longitudinal magnetic field, the Stückelberg phases accumulated at different spatial positions are different, resulting in modulations in the density profiles of all spin components. Therefore, by imposing a spatially nonuniform transverse field, we convert the time-domain interference into a space-domain one, which we refer to as spatial Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interference (SLZSI). In spinor condensates, the spatially inhomogeneous transverse field can also be provided by the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between atoms. We show that the SLZSI occurs even in the absence of any external transverse field. This phenomenon can be used to detect dipolar effects in a spinor condensate.

For a condensate confined in a Ioffe-Pritchard trap, Leanhardt et al. [22] experimentally demonstrated that a vortex can be imprinted by adiabatically inverting the axial magnetic field. Interestingly, they also swept the axial field back to its original direction. Due to the adiabaticity of the whole process, they found that the condensate recovered its original state. The dynamics of a spin-1 gas subject to a temporally oscillating field was studied in various papers [23–25]. However, we are not aware of any work on Stückelberg oscillations subject to a spatially inhomogeneous magnetic field.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we consider a continuum of two-level systems subject to a nonuniform transverse field and a periodic driving along the longitudinal direction. We show that the occupation probabilities are periodically modulated in the space domain. Section III studies the SLZSI of a spin-1 condensate in an Ioffe-Pritchard trap. We show that the positions of the destructive interference points agree with those presented in Sec. II. In Sec. IV, we study the SLZSI induced by the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction. Finally, we present our conclusions in Sec. V.

II. SPATIAL QUANTUM INTERFEROMETRY IN THE SINGLE-PARTICLE PICTURE

Let us first briefly summarize the Landau-Zener tunneling of a single two-level system under temporally periodic driving [5]. This will allow us to introduce quantities that will be used afterward. Specifically, we consider the model Hamiltonian

\[ H^{(1/2)}(t)/\hbar = -\frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x - \frac{\epsilon(t)}{2} \sigma_z, \]

where \( \hbar \Delta \) is a constant representing the level splitting, \( \sigma_x, \sigma_z \) are the Pauli operators, and

\[ \epsilon(t) = A \cos \Omega t \]

is the periodic driving with amplitude \( A \) and frequency \( \Omega \). For a spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) atom, \( \Delta \) and \( \epsilon(t) \) can be induced by a constant transverse magnetic field and an ac longitudinal field, respectively.
Throughout this work, we will assume that the longitudinal periodic driving contains no dc component.

For the model Hamiltonian Eq. (1), we are interested in the time dependence of the occupation probabilities in the upper and lower energy levels at time \( t \). Although this problem can be solved by numerous approaches, here we quote the results from the adiabatic-impulse model [5]. In Fig. 1, we schematically plot the adiabatic energy levels of the system; namely, the instantaneous eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (1). The avoided-level crossings (with energy splitting \( \hbar \Delta \) ) at times \( t = (n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/\Omega \) are induced by the transverse field, where \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). The evolution of the system can be divided into two stages:

1. Away from the avoided crossings, the system evolves adiabatically by following the evolution matrix

\[
U(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i\pi/2} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i\pi/2}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi/\Omega} \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \epsilon(t)^2} dt
\]

and \( \zeta/2 \) is the dynamical phase.

2. Landau-Zener transitions occur at the avoided crossings, which can be represented by the transition matrix

\[
N = \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{1 - p} e^{-i\varphi} & -\sqrt{p}
\\
\sqrt{p} & \sqrt{1 - p} e^{i\varphi}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the transition probability is \( p = \exp(-2\pi\delta) \), with \( \delta = \Delta^2/4A\Omega \), and the phase jump is \( \varphi = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta(\ln\delta - 1) + \arg\Gamma(1 - i\delta) \), with \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) being the Gamma function. In particular, in the fast-passage limit (\( \delta \ll 1 \)), the dynamical phase and phase jump become \( \zeta \approx A/\Omega \) and \( \varphi \approx -\pi/4 \), respectively.

After the two-level system is driven for \( \ell \) half periods, one may take the measurement of the population either at time \( t_1 \) or \( t_2 \) as shown in Fig. 1. Correspondingly, the total evolution matrix becomes \( U(t)N(t_1)U(t_1)^{-1} \) or \( U(t)N(t_1)U(t_1)^{-1} \), respectively. One immediately sees that, for the purpose of calculating the transition probability, a very relevant quantity is the transition matrix [5,26]

\[
(NU(t_1)U(t_1)^{-1})^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} - u_{21} \\ u_{21} \end{pmatrix},
\]
when and corresponding to different values of \( k \) for a given \( q \) of Eq. (12). Destructive interferences occur at the \( x_i \) coordinates. (b) Spatial distribution of the transition probability \( P_\alpha \) [Eq. (10)] for the following dimensionless parameters: \( b' = 0.01A/L, b_0 = 0, \Omega = A, \) and \( q = 100. \) Apparently, corresponding to different \( k' \), the transition probabilities are spatially modulated with an equal interval

\[
I_\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2qb'C}.
\]

In addition, the necessary condition for the range \( L \) to accommodate a destructive interference is \( L \gg I_\alpha \), which implies that the temporal periodic driving must be applied for more than \( \pi/(2b'L/C) \) periods.

In Fig. 2(b), we illustrate an example of the spatial distribution of \( P_\alpha \), which is plotted using Eq. (10) for the set of parameters \( b' = 0.01A/L, b_0 = 0, \Omega = A, \) and \( q = 100. \) As shown there, \( P_\alpha(x) \) exhibits nice spatial periodicity. The spatial period readout from Fig. 2(b) is in very good agreement with that predicted by Eq. (15). The nearly sinusoidal dependence of \( P_\alpha \) on \( x \) can be intuitively understood as follows. In the limit \( \delta(x) \ll 1, p \approx 1 \) and \( \theta \) have a very weak position dependence such that \( \sin \theta \) is essentially a constant. However, when \( \ell \) is sufficiently large, even a weak \( x \) dependence in \( \theta \) is significantly amplified in the function \( \sin^2(\ell \theta) \), which is the only term in \( P_\alpha \) contributing to the position dependence.

III. SLZSI OF A SPIN-1 CONDENSATE IN A MAGNETIC TRAP

In this section, we study the SLZSI of an optically trapped spin-1 condensate subject to a transverse magnetic field of the form of a Ioffe-Pritchard trap and a temporally periodic driving along the longitudinal direction. To this end, we first consider the spin dynamics of a single atom in the \( F = 1 \) hyperfine state subject to a constant transverse magnetic field \( B_z \) and a time-dependent longitudinal field \( B_x(t) = B_0 \cos \Omega t \).

The Hamiltonian of the system reads

\[
H^{(1)}(t)/\hbar = -\Delta F_x - \varepsilon(t) F_z,
\]

where \( \Delta = -g_F \mu_B B_z/\hbar \) and \( \varepsilon(t) = -g_F \mu_B B_0 \cos \Omega t/\hbar \), with \( g_F = -1/2 \) the Landé \( g \) factor of the atom, \( \mu_B \) the Bohr magneton, and \( \mathbf{F} = (F_x,F_y,F_z) \) the angular momentum operator. Note that a spin-1 atom contains three Zeeman sublevels, corresponding to the magnetic quantum number \( \alpha = 1, 0, \) and \(-1\). Utilizing the Majorana representation [4,27], the spin dynamics of the Hamiltonian (16) can be easily derived from that of a spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) particle. Specifically, the evolution matrix after \( \ell \) half periods becomes

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
|u_{11}^*|^2 & \sqrt{2}u_{11}u_{21}^* & (u_{21}^*)^2 \\
\sqrt{2}u_{11}u_{21} & |u_{11}|^2 - |u_{21}|^2 & -\sqrt{2}u_{11}^*u_{21} \\
u_{21}^* & \sqrt{2}u_{11}^*u_{21} & (u_{21})^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( u_{11} \) and \( u_{21} \) are given, respectively, in Eqs. (6) and (7). For an initial state with only the \( \alpha = 1 \) spin component populated, the occupation probabilities then become \( P_1 = |u_{11}|^4, P_0 = 2|u_{11}|^2|u_{21}|^2, \) and \( P_{-1} = |u_{21}|^4. \) Obviously, there exists a relation

\[
P_0 = 2\sqrt{P_1P_{-1}}
\]

among the occupation probabilities of different spin components.

For an ultracold atomic gas, atoms interact with each other and are free to move. Therefore, to strictly realize the SLZSI obtained from isolated two-level systems, the effects of the kinetic and interaction energies have to be eliminated. To achieve this, one may load the atoms into an optical lattice potential. If the depth of the optical potential is so high that the system is in the Mott insulator phase, the kinetic energy can be safely ignored. Furthermore, in the case where each lattice site is only occupied by a single atom, the atom-atom interaction can also be eliminated. However, as we shall show below, for typical experimental parameters, even for a singly trapped spinor condensate, SLZSI can be well described by the model introduced in Sec. II.

Now we proceed to study the SLZSI of a spin-1 condensate. Atoms interact with each other via the short-range potential [28,29]

\[
V_0(r - r') = (c_0 + c_2 \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{F}') \delta(r - r'),
\]

where \( c_0 = 4\pi \hbar^2(a_0 + 2a_2)/3m \) and \( c_2 = 4\pi \hbar^2(a_2 - a_0)/3m, \) with \( a_f (f = 0, 2) \) being the \( s \)-wave scattering length in the combined symmetric channel of total spin \( f. \) For the sodium atoms considered in this section, \( a_0 = 50a_B \) and \( a_2 = 55a_B, \) with \( a_B \) being the Bohr radius. Note that the parameters \( c_0 \) and \( c_2 \) represent, respectively, the strength of the spin-independent and spin-exchange collisional interactions. The spin-exchange interaction of the sodium atoms is antiferromagnetic. Atoms also exist whose spin-exchange interaction is ferromagnetic (e.g., rubidium). However, for the magnetic field considered in this section, the Zeeman energy is much larger than the spin-exchange interaction energy such that the spin-exchange interaction is unimportant to the spin dynamics.
We assume that the transverse magnetic field takes the form of a Ioffe-Pritchard trap, such that the total external field becomes

$$B_{\text{ext}}(r,t) = B'(x\hat{x} - y\hat{y}) + B_c(t)\hat{z},$$

where $B'$ is the gradient of the transverse magnetic field. Furthermore, to stably confine the condensate, a spin-independent optical trap

$$U_{\text{opt}}(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_r^2(r^2 + \lambda z^2)$$

is also applied, where $\omega_r$ is the radial trap frequency and $\lambda$ is the trap aspect ratio. For the numerical simulations presented below, we shall choose $B' = 15 \text{G/cm}$, $B_c = 1 \text{G}$, $\omega_r = (2\pi)100 \text{Hz}$, and $\lambda = 6$.

Within the framework of mean-field theory, a spin-1 condensate of $N$ atoms is described by the wave functions $\psi_\alpha(r) (\alpha = 0, \pm 1)$, which satisfy the dynamic equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha}{\partial t} = [T + U_{\text{opt}} + c_0 n(r)]\psi_\alpha + g_F \mu_B B_{\text{eff}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta,$$

where $T = -\hbar^2\nabla^2/2m$ is the kinetic energy term, $n(r) = \sum_\alpha \psi_\alpha^* \psi_\alpha$ is the number density of the condensate normalized to the total number of atoms $N$, and

$$B_{\text{eff}}(r,t) = B_{\text{ext}}(r,t) + B_{\text{exc}}(r,t)$$

is the effective magnetic field which includes the external magnetic field and the contribution originating from the spin-exchange interaction

$$B_{\text{exc}}(r,t) = \frac{c_2}{g_F \mu_B} \mathbf{S}(r,t)$$

with $\mathbf{S}(r,t) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\alpha^* \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta$ being the spin density. Here we have neglected the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction since it is much smaller than the Zeeman energy in an Ioffe-Pritchard trap. However, the effect of the dipolar interaction will be addressed in the next section.

We demonstrate the SLZSI in a magnetic trap by numerically solving Eq. (21) for a condensate of $N = 2 \times 10^6$ sodium atoms. The initial wave functions are taken as the ground state of the spinor condensate under the external field $B_{\text{ext}}(r,0)$. In the results presented below, we will focus on the behavior of the column density of the different spin components

$$\bar{n}_\alpha(x,y) = \int dz |\psi_\alpha(r)|^2,$$

which also corresponds to the absorption image of the atomic gas.

Figure 3 shows the column densities of all spin components for $\Omega = 10\pi \omega_z$ and after the driving field being applied for $q = 0$, $2$, $4$, and $6$ periods. Due to the axial symmetry of the column densities, they are plotted as functions of $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Initially, only the $\alpha = 1$ component is populated for the given parameters. As we start to drive the condensate with an ac longitudinal field, other spin states also become occupied. In particular, ripples start to develop in the density profiles of all spin components. If the condensate is driven for a longer time, more ripples will appear.

The positions of the destructive interference in the $\alpha = -1$ component is also determined by Eq. (12). Here, instead, we will focus on $\bar{n}_1$ since the $\alpha = 1$ component contains the majority of the atoms when the system is only driven for a short period of time. For the given parameters, we have $1 - p(\rho) \ll 1$. Therefore, the positions of the destructive interference in $\bar{n}_1$ are mainly determined by the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (9). Following the same analysis as that presented in Sec. II, destructive interference in $\bar{n}_1$ occurs at the positions determined by the equation

$$\sin \left( \frac{2k' - 1}{4q} \pi \right) = \sqrt{1 - p(\rho) \cos [\varphi(\rho) + \zeta(\rho)]},$$

with $k'$ being an integer and where $q$ is the number of periods that the driving field has been applied for. For the parameters given in Fig. 3, the location of the destructive interference points predicted by Eq. (25) are shown as black vertical arrows in the figure. As can be seen, they agree well with those obtained through the full numerical simulations.

To gain more insight into the SLZSI of a spin-1 condensate, let us verify the relation Eq. (18), which holds rigorously in the single-particle picture. For the column densities of the condensates, Eq. (18) becomes $\bar{n}_0 = 2\sqrt{\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_{-1}}$. In our numerical simulation, we find that $\bar{n}_0$ and $2\sqrt{\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_{-1}}$ agree with each other for small $t$. However, as shown in Fig. 4(a), a prominent discrepancy appears for $\omega_z t = 1$. Since Eq. (18) is derived from the Majorana representation, its violation indicates a deviation from the single-particle picture. To identify which source causes the discrepancy, one may evolve Eq. (21) with the kinetic energy term removed. This treatment is equivalent to taking the Thomas-Fermi approximation. The
result presented in Fig. 4(b) shows that \( 2\sqrt{\langle \hat{n}_{\|} \rangle} \) is visually identical to \( \bar{n}_0 \) after the kinetic energy term is dropped, which suggests that the discrepancy in Fig. 4(a) is mainly caused by the center-of-mass motion of the atoms.

Vengalattore et al. [30] have demonstrated that spinor condensates can be regarded as high-resolution magnetometers. Potentially, due to its sensitivity to the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field, the SLZSI in a spinor condensate can also be used to measure the gradient of the magnetic field.

IV. SLZSI IN A DIPOLAR SPIN-1 CONDENSATE

Now, we turn to study the SLZSI in a dipolar spinor condensate. In addition to the short-range interaction \( V_0 \), there also exists a long-range magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between two spin-1 atoms. The interaction potential between two magnetic dipoles takes the form

\[
V_d (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{F}' - 3(\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{F}' \cdot \mathbf{e})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3},
\]

where the strength of the dipolar interaction is characterized by \( c_d = \mu_0 \alpha^2 G_{F\bar{F}}^2 / (4\pi) \), with \( \mu_0 \) being the vacuum magnetic permeability, and \( \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') / |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \) is a unit vector. Within the framework of mean-field theory, the dipolar interaction generates an effective magnetic field of the form [31]

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{dip}} (\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{c_d}{g_F \mu_B} \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{S(\mathbf{r}', t) - 3[S(\mathbf{r}', t) \cdot \mathbf{e}] \mathbf{e}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3}.
\]

Consequently, the total effective magnetic field becomes

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}} (\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} (\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{exc}} (\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{dip}} (\mathbf{r}, t).
\]

We note that the magnitude of the transverse component of \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{dip}} \) can be formally expressed as

\[
B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} (\rho, z) = \sqrt{B_{\text{dip}}^2 (\rho, z) - (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dip}} \cdot \hat{z})^2}.
\]

As we will show, \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} \) is nonuniform. Therefore, SLZSI may be induced in spinor condensates even in the absence of an external transverse magnetic field.

To proceed further, we consider a concrete example of a spin-1 condensate containing \( N = 10^7 \) rubidium atoms. The Landé \( g \) factor of the atoms is \( g_F = -1/2 \). Moreover, the \( s \)-wave scattering lengths between rubidium atoms are \( a_0 = 101.8 a_B \) and \( a_1 = 100.4 a_B \). The optical trap has the same parameters as those adopted in Sec. III. Finally, to emphasize the effect of the dipolar interaction, we assume that the external field only contains a longitudinal component, i.e.,

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} (t) = B_0 \cos (\Omega t \hat{z}).
\]

The value of \( B_0 \) is typically around several hundreds \( \mu G \), under which the spins of the atoms are fully polarized along the \( z \) axis.

Before we turn to study the dynamics of the condensate under an external driving field, it is instructive to examine the structure of the magnetic field induced by the dipolar interaction. For simplicity, we assume that only the \( \alpha = 1 \) spin component is populated, which is essentially the ground state under the external field \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} (t) = 0 \). Figure 5(a) shows the magnitude of the transverse component of \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{dip}} \) for a spin-polarized condensate. Due to the cylindrical symmetry of the system, \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} \) reduces to a function of \( \rho \) and \( z \). Clearly, \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} \) is nonuniform and takes a butterfly shape in the \( \rho z \) plane.

For this specific example, the maximum value of \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} \) is around 10.4 \( \mu G \). In particular, as can be deduced from Eq. (27), the effective transverse field vanishes in the \( xy \) plane.

To reveal more details about the transverse field, we plot \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} (\rho, z = z_0) \) for various \( z_0 \) values in Fig. 5(b). On a given \( z = z_0 \) plane, \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} (\rho, z_0) \) is roughly a linear function when \( \rho \) is small. However, the gradient of the transverse field sensitively depends on the value of \( z_0 \). Moreover, \( B_{\text{dip}}^{(1)} \) becomes a time-dependent function once the external driving field \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} \) is applied. Therefore, one should not use Eq. (25) to predict the positions of the destructive interference points.
The dynamics of the condensate can be simulated by numerically evolving Eq. (21) with the effective magnetic field in Eq. (28). The initial wave function is taken as the ground state under the external field $B_{\text{ext}}(t = 0)$. In Fig. 6, we present the column densities of all spin components after the driving field is applied for various periods. The parameters of the driving field are $B_0 = 100 \mu G$ and $\Omega = 0.2\pi \omega_\perp$. As can be seen, even though the total density remains unchanged, ripples start to develop on the density profiles of all spin components after the driving field is applied for a few periods, which indicates SLZSI occurs in a spin-1 condensate subject to a periodic driving. More ripples will appear if one evolves the system for a longer time.

The structure of the density ripples also depends sensitively on the parameters of the driving field. As shown in Fig. 7(a), the ripples in $n_1$ are significantly suppressed if we increase the amplitude of the driving field to $B_0 = 500 \mu G$. This can be intuitively understood as follows. A destructive interference on $n_1$ occurs when a considerable number of atoms in the $\alpha = 1$ component are transferred to other spin components. If one increases $B_0$ while keeping $\Omega$ unchanged, the transition probability decreases. Therefore, in order to gain visible density ripples, one has to lower the frequency of the driving field. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 7(b), density ripples appear again by lowering the driving frequency to $\Omega = 0.04\pi \omega_\perp$.

From Eq. (23), it is tempting to think that even in the absence of the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction the spin-exchange interaction can also induce density ripples. This turns out to be untrue for the initial states considered in this section. To show this, we consider an initial state with spins being polarized to an arbitrary direction. For such a state, the total spin of the condensate is $N$ and the number of atoms in all spin components are uniquely determined [32,33]

$$N_{\pm 1} = \frac{N}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{M}{N} \right)^2$$

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{M^2}{N^2} \right),$$

where $M = N_1 - N_{-1}$ is the $z$ component of the total spin. Now we assume that a magnetic field is applied along the $z$ axis. Since the total spin ($N$) and its $z$ component ($M$) are conserved in the absence of the dipolar interaction [28], the contact spin-exchange interaction will not cause any spin mixing. Therefore, for an initially polarized spin-1 condensate subject to a periodic driving, the appearance of density ripples is an unambiguous manifestation of the dipolar interaction in a spinor condensate.

In a previous work [31], we proposed to detect the effect of dipolar interaction in spinor condensates by adiabatically inverting the longitudinal field, where the strength of the longitudinal field is only around several tens of microgauss. Compared to that scheme, the obvious advantage of using the dipolar-interaction-induced SLZSI is that the strength of the longitudinal field can be much higher.

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a method to convert the time-domain St"uckelberg oscillations to an interference pattern in the space domain by imposing a spatially nonuniform transverse magnetic field. For a continuum of two-level systems, we showed that the occupation probabilities are periodically modulated in space. In addition, we also obtained a relation between the spatial period and the system parameters in the fast-passage limit. We then demonstrated the SLZSI for a spin-1 condensate subject to a transverse field of the form of an Ioffe-Pritchard trap. We found that the kinetic and interaction energies only slightly modify the interference patterns obtained from the single-atom model if the system is not driven for a long time. Finally, we showed that the SLZSI can also be induced by the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction, even in the absence of an external transverse field. Potential applications of the SLZSI include the measurement of the spatial inhomogeneity of the magnetic field and the detection of weak magnetic

FIG. 6. (Color online) Column densities at various times $t$ for $B_0 = 100 \mu G$ and $\Omega = 0.2\pi \omega_\perp$. The solid, dashed, and dash-dotted lines denote the $\alpha = 1, 0, -1$ spin components, respectively. The dotted lines represent the total column densities.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Column densities at time $\omega_{\perp}t = 200$ for $B_0 = 500 \mu G$ with (a) $\Omega = 0.2\pi \omega_\perp$ and (b) $\Omega = 0.04\pi \omega_\perp$. The solid, dashed, and dash-dotted lines denote the $\alpha = 1, 0, -1$ spin components, respectively. The dotted lines represent the total column densities.
dipole-dipole interactions in spinor condensates. Finally, we want to point out that SLZSI is a single-particle property, therefore, a fermionic gas should also exhibit the phenomena described in this work.
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